

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,009	04/23/2004	Bily Wang	BHT-3244-48	7645
7:	590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
	AW OFFICE PLLC		DONG,	DALEI
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBU	RG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22041		2879	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			$\sim$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commission	10/830,009	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dalei Dong	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communicatio NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Apr	<u>oril 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers	· .						
	r						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/830,009

Art Unit: 2879

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   European Patent Application 1 447 853 to Meada in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,809,471 to
   Setlur.

Regarding to claim 1, Meada discloses in Figures 1-3, a white light source, comprising: a substrate (7); a near UV light-emitting diode (1) placing on the substrate; a phosphor mixture (2) coated on the near UV light-emitting diode (1) and composed of a red phosphor (5), a green phosphor (4) and a yellow phosphor (6).

However, Meada does not specifically disclose that the near UV light-emitting diode emits light in the blue color range.

It is old and well known in the art that the color near UV is blue color.

Furthermore, Setlur teaches in Figure 4, a light emitting diode having wavelength near UV-to-blue range for the purpose of providing a light-emitting diode having emission in the range of maximum sensitivity to the human eyes.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the near UV to blue emission range diode of

Art Unit: 2879

Setlur for the white light source of Meada in order to provide a light-emitting diode having emission in the range of maximum sensitivity to the human eyes.

Regarding to claim 4, Setlur teaches the yellow phosphor is YAG:Ce or TbAG:Ce (see column 7, lines 20-24), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 5, Meada discloses in Figures 5-7, the white light source is packaged in a surface mount device (the flat display can be surface mounted) is a limitation with respect to the manner in which the claimed apparatus is intended to be employed and this limitation does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations (see MPEP 2114).

Regarding to claim 6, Meada discloses in Figures 5-7, the white light source is packaged in a lamp-type device.

Regarding to claim 7, Meada discloses in Figures 1-3, the substrate (7) is an insulating substrate.

Regarding to claim 8, Setlur teaches the blue light-emitting diode is made of a nitride compound (see column 2, lines 2-5), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding to claim 9, Setlur teaches the blue light-emitting diode emits light with a wavelength of 400-490 nm (see column 3, lines 38-40), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 10, Meada discloses in Figures 1-3, the red phosphor, the green phosphor and the yellow phosphor are mixed in a predetermined ratio.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application 1 447 853 to Meada in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,809,471 to Setlur in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,569 to Mueller-Mach.

Regarding to claim 2, Meada in view of Setlur discloses in Figures 1-3, a white light source, comprising: a substrate (7); a blue light-emitting diode (1) placing on the substrate; a phosphor mixture (2) coated on the near blue light-emitting diode (1) and composed of a red phosphor (5), a green phosphor (4) and a yellow phosphor (6).

However, Meada and Setlur does not disclose the red phosphor is CaS.Eu or SrS.Eu.

Mueller-Mach teaches in Figure 3, a light emitting diode, comprising the red phosphor made from CaS Eu or SrS Eu (see column 4, lines 18-27) for the purpose of achieving desirable color balance for a true color rendition.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordainry skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the red phosphor of Mueller-Mach and the

blue light emitting diode of Setlur for the white light emitting device of Meada in order to achieve desirable color balance for a true color rendition.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application 1 447 853 to Meada in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,809,471 to Setlur in further view of U.S. Patent No. 7,018,565 to Tian.

Regarding to claim 3, Meada in view of Setlur discloses in Figures 1-3, a white light source, comprising: a substrate (7); a blue light-emitting diode (1) placing on the substrate; a phosphor mixture (2) coated on the near blue light-emitting diode (1) and composed of a red phosphor (5), a green phosphor (4) and a yellow phosphor (6).

However, Meada and Setlur does not disclose the green phosphor is SrGa<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>:Eu.

Tian teaches that it is old and well known in the art to use the green phosphor SrGa<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub>:Eu in a light-emitting diode (see column 1, lines 12-14).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilize the old and well know green phosphor of Tian and the blue light emitting diode of Setlur for the white light emitting device of Meada in order to achieve desirable color balance for a true color rendition.

## Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Art Unit: 2879

The following prior art are cited to further show the state of the art of composition of a white light source.

- U.S. Patent No. 6,621,211 to Srivastava.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,635,363 to Duclos.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,747,406 to Bortscheller.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,817,735 to Shimizu.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,009

Art Unit: 2879

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

May 19, 2006

Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879